IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJUAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRL.R.NO. 347 of 1995
DATE OF ORDER:13.9.2007

Krishan Lal ....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana ....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.N. JINDAL**

Present: Ms. Srishti, Advocate, for the petitioner as Amicus Curiae
Mr. K.S. Godara, D.A.G. Haryana.

**A.N. JINDAL,J.**

Assailed in this petition is the judgment dated 10.3.1995, passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, modifying the offence from 392 IPC to 382 IPC in the appeal against the judgment dated 16.9.1994, convicting the accused under Section 392 IPC and sentencing him to undergo RI for 2 years and to pay a fine of Rs. 100/-

Conviction recorded by trial court has not been assailed. The only contention is that the accused has already undergone 10 months and 12 days of the substantive sentence for a petty robbery of Rs.1470/-. The petitioner has been suffering much agony of proceedings for the last 13 years. Therefore, some indulgence regarding quantum of sentence may be extended.

Having perused the records of the case, it transpires that the case relates to the petty robbery of Rs.1470/- while snatching a bag in the late hours of the evening by the petitioner. However, after the said occurrence, there is no evidence of repetition of such offence by him. He has suffered much agony of the proceedings.

Taking conspectus of the aforesaid circumstances, the ends of justice would be met if the sentence suffered by him is reduced to the

period already undergone.

For the forgoing reasons, the revision petition is dismissed with the modification in the sentence so as to reduce the same to the period already undergone.

Ms. Srishti, Amicus Curiae may claim remuneration as per rules.

13.9.2007 ( A.N. JINDAL)
rajeev JUDGE

**To be referred to reporter : Yes/no**